PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To. NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF SYMBIAN SOFTWARE LIMITED THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL Legal Department SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION Attn. Margolis, Andrew 2-6 Boundary Row London SE1 8HP UNITED KINGDOM (PCT Rule 44.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 26/07/2005 Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below PDF 0307/07(PCT) International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/GB2005/001300 01/04/2005 Applicant SYMBIAN SOFTWARE LIMITED 1. X The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes Where? Directly to the 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication. The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date. Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices. In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Ahmed Soliman

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
 "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220				
PDF 0307/07(PCT)	ACTION as we	l as, where applicable, item 5 below.				
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)				
PCT/GB2005/001300	01/04/2005	02/04/2004				
Applicant						
SYMBIAN SOFTWARE LIMITED						
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Autansmitted to the International Bureau.	hority and is transmitted to the applicant				
This International Search Report consists	of a total of sheets.					
X It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.						
Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).						
b. With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed	d in the international application, see Box No. I.				
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).					
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box III).					
4. With regard to the title,						
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.					
the text has been establis	the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:					
5 150						
5. With regard to the abstract,	shmitted by the applicant					
X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant						
	om the date of mailing of this international sea					
6. With regard to the drawings,						
	oublished with the abstract is Figure No. <u>1</u>					
X as suggested by	, ,					
-	s Authority, because the applicant failed to su					
	is Authority, because this figure better charact	enzes the invention.				
b. none of the figures is to b	e published with the abstract.					

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/GB2005/001300

A. CLASS	IFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER G06F9/46				
110 / 40					
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIELDS	SEARCHED				
Minimum de IPC 7	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classificat $G06F$	ion symbols)			
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that	such documents are included in the fields se	earched		
	•				
Electronic o	lata base consulted during the international search (name of data ba	ase and, where practical, search terms used)		
EPO-In	ternal, INSPEC, COMPENDEX, IBM-TDB				
<u> </u>					
·	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	lount nagangan	Delayant to plaim No.		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the re	nevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Х	CHEREPOV M ET AL: "Hard real-ti	me with	1-31		
	RTX on Windows NT" PROCEEDINGS OF THE USENIX WINDOW.	C MT	-		
	SYMPOSIUM, 13 July 1999 (1999-07				
	pages 103-111, XP002289600	,			
	abstract page 107, right-hand column, line	es 8-49			
			1 01		
A	RIVAS ET AL: "MaRTE OS: An Ada Real-Time Embedded Applications"	Kernel for	1–31		
	LECTURE NOTES IN COMPUTER SCIENCE				
	vol. 2043, January 2001 (2001-01 305-316, XP002334656), pages			
	page 309, line 3 - page 312, line 4				
		, / mar van			
2		,			
Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex.					
° Special ca	tegories of cited documents :	"T" later document published after the inte	mational filing date		
	ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance	or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention			
"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filling date "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to					
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another "V" document of particular relevances the claimed by continuous con					
citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or "O" document is combined with one or more other such docu-					
"P" docume	means ant published prior to the international filing date but	ments, such combination being obvious in the art.			
	nan the priority date claimed actual completion of the international search	"&" document member of the same patent			
	July 2005	26/07/2005			
		Authorized officer			
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Riiswiik Authorized officer					
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016		de Man, A			

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/GB2005/001300

C.(Continu	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	TC17 db2003/001300	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
A	LUI SHA ET AL: "PRIORITY INHERITANCE PROTOCOLS: AN APPROACH TO REAL-TIME SYNCHRONIZATION" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMPUTERS, IEEE INC. NEW YORK, US, vol. 39, no. 9, 1 September 1990 (1990-09-01), pages 1175-1185, XP000149625 ISSN: 0018-9340 abstract page 1177, left-hand column, lines 19-36	1-31	

1

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 02.04.2004 PCT/GB2005/001300 01.04.2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F9/46 Applicant SYMBIAN SOFTWARE LIMITED This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ☐ Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Authorized Officer



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

de Man, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4527



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001300

	Dev. 1	No. 1. People of the emission					
	Box i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
1.	With the la	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in he language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	la	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	With i	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. typ	e of material:					
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. for	mat of material:					
		in written format					
		in computer readable form					
c. time of filing/furnishing:							
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	h	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
4.	Addit	ional comments:					
_	Box I	No. II Priority					
1.	r r	The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.					
2.	h	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filling date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
3.	Addit	ional observations, if necessary:					

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1 Reference is made to the following document:
 - D1: Cherepov, "Hard Real-Time With RTX on Windows NT" (July 1999)
- 2 Document D1 discloses, using the wording of claim 1,

a computing device comprising a scheduler incorporating an algorithm for ordering the running of threads of execution having different priorities (page 107, right-hand column, lines 8-19; RTSS scheduler); and

including a list of threads which are scheduled to run on the device, ordered by priority (page 107, right-hand column, lines 20-30; ready queue per priority);

the device further comprising at least one locking mechanism for blocking access to a resource of the device from all threads except for a thread that holds the locking mechanism (page 107, right-hand column, lines 43-49; access to an object is blocked for a high priority thread while a low priority thread holds the object);

and in which a scheduled thread which is blocked from running causes the thread which holds the locking mechanism to run (page 107, right-hand column, lines 43-59; the effective priority of the low priority thread that holds the object is promoted to that of the high priority thread, thereby implicitly causing it to run).

Thus the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The subject-matter of independent claims 17 and 18 largely corresponds to the subject-matter of claim 1, which is found to lack novelty. Thus, for substantially the same reasons as above, the subject-matter of claims 17 and 18 is not new either (Article 33(2) PCT).

- The additional features of dependent claims 2, 4, 19 and 21 are also disclosed by document D1, see page 107, right-hand column, lines 8-30.
 - Thus the subject-matter of claims 2, 4, 19 and 21 is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- Dependent claims 3, 5-16, 20 and 22-31 specify obvious and well-known features that merely define implementation details of the scheduler and locking mechanism, not affecting the actual scheduling scheme or achieving any non-obvious technical advantages. These features are therefore not considered to contribute to an inventive step.

Thus the subject-matter of claims 3, 5-16, 20 and 22-31 does not involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).